Attorney name (SBN \_\_\_\_\_\_\_)

Address

City, State, Zip

Phone number

Attorney for \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Petitioner, v\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Respondent | Case No. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_[proposed] ORDER RULING ON OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATION OF \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ SIGNED ON \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Hearing Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Time: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Department: \_\_\_\_\_Judge: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |

 After hearing the objections of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ , \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, to Respondent’s Responsive Declaration, the court rules as follows:

**Objection Number 1**

“We own three cars” (page 1 lines 12-13)

Grounds for Objection:

Inadmissible opinion. (Evidence Code §800); Lack of personal knowledge, speculation (Evidence Code §702(a)); Opinion based on improper matter (Evidence Code §803)

Court’s ruling on Objection Number 1: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Sustained

 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Overruled

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 Judge of the Superior Court